

# *Platform Proto-Federation*

## HLA OMT Experiences

**AMG-10**  
**6 March 1996**

# *PPF FOM Development Process*

- ❖ **Excel spreadsheet used to capture FOM data**
  - cumbersome for inheritance, visualization, consistency, and modification
- ❖ **Detailed scenario facilitated identification of concrete classes and interactions**
- ❖ **Federate SOM development followed OMT FOM guidelines**
- ❖ **Federates met on several occasions to negotiate and record FOM data**

# *Class Structure Table*

- ❖ **Class structure based on common attributes and potential filtering needs**
  - contrast to typical Object-Oriented class design
- ❖ **PPF structure influenced by DIS standards**
  - e.g. Entity -> Platform -> Ground\_Vehicle -> Wheeled
- ❖ **Process lacks guidance or methodology**
  - subjective to understanding of a priori requirements (filtering, granularity, fidelity)
- ❖ **Multiple inheritance difficult to represent**
  - excessive duplication in tabular format

# *Attribute Table*

- ❖ **Complex attributes**

- initially not well understood, and not consistent with the RTI notion of discovery predicates

- ❖ **Added timestamp column**

- ❖ **Ownership transfer condition**

- attributes can only be transferred with entire object

- ❖ **Composite objects**

- examined alternatives using sets of attributes as contained objects

- ❖ **Example: object = entity, attribute = location.x**

# *Interaction Table*

- ❖ **External federation interactions defined**
  - internal interactions were recognized as useful event markers, but not required for experiments
- ❖ **Semantic interaction information added**
  - comment column explains rules and conditions
- ❖ **Dynamic object creation contention**
  - effects may have non-zero duration, dynamic creation of objects provides implementation independence (e.g., simulations can create objects only if able)

# *Lessons Learned*

- ❖ **Complete scenario development and experiment definitions before creating FOM**
- ❖ **FOM process**
  - member coordination time is intensive
  - complex attributes and semantic interaction descriptions not fully supported (or understood)
- ❖ **Automated tools needed for development**
- ❖ **FOM development methodology**
  - must evolve techniques from lessons learned for class formation with respect to various tradeoffs

# *Additional OMT Concerns*

## ❖ **SOM/FOM abstraction**

- SOMs will be developed independent of FOMs, a process to translate between models is necessary

## ❖ **SOM simulation software connectivity**

- compile time synchronization of SOM (FOM) data and simulation software required for maintainability